UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,707	10/26/2006	Oleg Shestakov	11884/477201	5805
53000 KENYON & K	7590 08/04/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE	T N.W.	HAQ, NAEEM U		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/553,707	SHESTAKOV ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	NAEEM HAQ	3625		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f tte, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 (2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)    This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 27-52 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 27-52 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examir</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E</li> </ul>	ecepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. In ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date al Patent Application		

Art Unit: 3625

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Preliminary Amendment**

The preliminary amendment filed on October 17, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1-26 have been canceled. New claims 27-52 have been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 27-42 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Referring to claims 27-35 and 52: A claimed process is eligible for patent protection under 35 U.S.C. § 101 if:

"(1) It is tied to a particular machine or apparatus, or (2) it transforms a particular article into a different state or thing. See Benson, 409 U.S. at 70 ('Transformation and reduction of an article 'to a different state or thing' is the clue to the patentability of a process claim that does not include particular machines.'); Diehr, 450 U.S. at 192 (holding that use of mathematical formula in process 'transforming or reducing an article to a different state or thing' constitutes patent-eligible subject matter); see also Flook, 437 U.S. at 589 n.9 ('An argument can be made [that the Supreme] Court has only recognized a process as within the statutory definition when it either was tied to a particular apparatus or operated to change materials to a 'different state or thing' '); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 788 (1876) ('A process is...an act, or a series of acts, performed upon the subject- matter to be transformed and reduced to a different state or thing.').7 A claimed process involving a Application/Control Number: 10/553,707

Art Unit: 3625

fundamental principle that uses a particular machine or apparatus would not pre-empt uses of the principle that do not also use the specified machine or apparatus in the manner claimed. And a claimed process that transforms a particular article to a specified different state or thing by applying a fundamental principle would not pre-empt the use of the principle to transform any other article, to transform the same article but in a manner not covered by the claim, or to do anything other than transform the specified article." (*In re Bilski*, 88 USPQ2d 1385, 1391 (Fed. Cir. 2008))

Also noted in *Bilski* is the statement, "Process claim that recites fundamental principle, and that otherwise fails 'machine-or-transformation' test for whether such claim is drawn to patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. §101, is not rendered patent eligible by mere field-of-use limitations; another corollary to machine-or- transformation test is that recitation of specific machine or particular transformation of specific article does not transform unpatentable principle into patentable process if recited machine or transformation constitutes mere 'insignificant post-solution activity." (In re Bilski, 88 USPQ2d 1385, 1385 (Fed. Cir. 2008)) Examples of insignificant post-solution activity include data gathering and outputting. Furthermore, the machine or transformation must impose meaningful limits on the scope of the method claims in order to pass the machine-or-transformation test. It is also noted that the mere recitation of a machine in the preamble in a manner such that the machine fails to patentably limit the scope of the claim does not make the claim statutory under 35 U.S.C. § 101, as seen in the Board of Patent Appeals Informative Opinion Ex parte Langemyr et al, (Appeal 2008-1495). In the instant invention, claims 27-35 and 52 are directed to processes that perform a series of steps. However, the processes are not tied to a particular machine or transform an article to a different state or thing. Therefore, claims 27-35 and 52 are

Application/Control Number: 10/553,707 Page 4

Art Unit: 3625

rejected as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Dependent claims fail to correct this problem and are also rejected under the same rationale.

Referring to claims 36-42: These claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 36-42 recite a computer readable medium, which when broadly interpreted, covers both non-statutory subject matter such as signals or carrier waves and statutory subject matter such as non-transitory mediums and therefore is rejected under 35 USC 101.

In order to overcome this rejection the claim should be amended to include a term such as "non-transitory" before computer readable medium with support for the same in the applicant's disclosure. Please refer to the USPTO public notice on this subject http://www.uspto.gov/patents/law/notices/101 crm 20100127.pdf

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 27-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chessell et al. (US 7,472,379 B2) ("Chessell").

Page 5

Art Unit: 3625

Chessell teaches a method for generating an automatic authorization of a shopping cart created during electronic procurement of items depending on at least one value stored in a database table, comprising: retrieving data from the database table, the data including a data representing a budget-value and a data representing a cumulative amount spent during a specified time period assigned to a user performing the electronic procurement; calculating a total value of the items in the shopping cart; comparing a sum of the value of the cumulative amount spent and the total value of the items in the shopping cart with the budget-value; wherein, if the sum is less than or equal to the budget-value, then generating the authorization, and updating the value of the cumulative amount spent by the total value of items in the shopping cart; wherein, if the sum is greater than the budget-value, then generating an indication indicating that the budget-value is exceeded (Figure 4; col. 7, lines 33-43).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NAEEM HAQ whose telephone number is (571)272-6758. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff A. Smith can be reached on (571)-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,707 Page 6

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Naeem Haq/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3625

August 2, 2010